

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/627,757 07/28/2003		Yasuhiro Kouchi	Q76319	3310
23373 7	7590 09/12/2005	EXAMINER		INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SITTON, JEHANNE SOUAYA	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

le				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/627,757	KOUCHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jehanne S. Sitton	1634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	July 2003.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:		9(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties from the International Pure	•	eived in this National Stage		
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eived		
dec the attached detailed Office action for a n	at of the defined copies not reco	Sived.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8 and 10, drawn to methods of detecting mutations, classified in class435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 9 and 11, drawn to an oligonucleotide, classified in class 536, subclass23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of groups II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids can be used to express peptides which is not required for the methods of detection. Searching more than one of the patentably distinct groups presents a burden as the searches are not coextensive. Art relating to the structurally diverse set of nucleic acids claimed in group II would not necessarily provide any diagnostic information regarding glaucoma, and vice versa.

2. Additionally, each group named above is subject to further restriction. Applicant is required to further elect a specific SEQ ID NO. This is NOT an election of species. The different nucleotide sequences are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct

Application/Control Number: 10/627,757 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions," 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a). It is noted that searching more than one of the claimed patentably distinct sequences represents a serious burden for the office.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,757

Art Unit: 1634

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re*

Application/Control Number: 10/627,757

Art Unit: 1634

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to

Page 5

retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the

process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the

product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so

may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to examiner Jehanne Sitton whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0752. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM and

on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for this

Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Jehanne Sitton
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1634

8/31/05